			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,466	11/14/2003	Bernhard Scherzinger	(MM) 54 358	1922
7590 01/21/2005			EXAMINER	
M. Robert Kestenbaum 11011 Bermuda Dunes NE			FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W	
Albuquerque, NM 87111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005	ç

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~

.

			for
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	L
	10/713,466	SCHERZINGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		
	Christopher W. Fulton	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re h. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered ti HS from the mailing date of thi NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) $\boxtimes$ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u>			
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th		Once Action of John	F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> </ul>		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		onligation No	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docun</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>			nal Stage
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
Attachment(s) 1) 🔟 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) 🛄 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (	PTO-152)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	B/08) 5) ⊡ Notice of In 6) □ Other:		1 10-1021

### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification at page 2 line 14 two periods are at the end of the sentence.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3, 6-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is vague and indefinite because it is unclear if "a flexible strip" in line four is the same flexible strip in claim 1 line 4 "at least one flexible strip" or an additional flexible strip.

Claims 6, 7, 9, 14, and 16-18 are vague and indefinite because of a lack of antecedent basis for "the first printed circuit board" since claim 1 sets forth "at least one printed circuit board" and further "the printed circuit board".

Claim 8 is vague and indefinite because of a double recitation of "at least one flexible strip".

Claims 10 and 12 are vague and indefinite because of a lack of antecedent basis for "the at least one further printed circuit board".

Application/Control Number: 10/713,466 Art Unit: 2859

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-5, 14, 15, 17-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nix et al.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Nix et al with a housing 5 having a printed circuit

board 45 and at least one sensor 12 associated with the circuit board and a contact cup 13 which

is arranged at the lower end of the housing, and a flexible strip 11 which has at least one

connecting line provided on the at least one printed circuit board.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/713,466 Art Unit: 2859

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 6-11, 13, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nix et al.

The device as claimed is disclosed by Nix et al as stated in the rejection recited above for claims 1-5, 14, 15, 17-19, and 21, but lacks using multiple circuit boards connected rigidly or by a flexible connecting member.

It is old and well known to use multiple circuit boards in an electronic device connected either rigidly or by a flexible member to add further electronic functions in a predefined space. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use multiple circuit boards connected either rigidly or by a flexible member in Nix et al as is old and well known to add electronic functions in a predefined space.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,466 Art Unit: 2859

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher W. Fulton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

CWF